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RECENT DEVELOPMENT

MILLER V. STATE

By: Mary Lynn Denholm

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT DECISION IN PADILLA V. KENTUCKY, HOLDING THAT FAILURE TO ADVISE NON-CITIZEN CLIENTS ABOUT DEPORTATION AS A POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCE OF A GUILTY PLEA COULD INVALIDATE THE PLEA, CREATED NEW LAW AND THEREFORE DOES NOT APPLY RETROACTIVELY TO INVALIDATE JUDGMENTS FINALIZED PRIOR TO THE MARCH 31, 2010 DECISION.
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