Recent Developments: Cuffley v. State: Ambiguities Arising from the Interpretation of the Sentencing Terms of a Plea Agreement Must Be Resolved Solely Based on the Trial Court Record, with No Reference to Extrinsic Evidence, under Maryland Rule 4-243

J. Burke Miller

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarworks.law.ubalt.edu/lf

Part of the Law Commons

Recommended Citation
Miller, J. Burke (2011) "Recent Developments: Cuffley v. State: Ambiguities Arising from the Interpretation of the Sentencing Terms of a Plea Agreement Must Be Resolved Solely Based on the Trial Court Record, with No Reference to Extrinsic Evidence, under Maryland Rule 4-243," University of Baltimore Law Forum: Vol. 41 : No. 2 , Article 7.
Available at: http://scholarworks.law.ubalt.edu/lf/vol41/iss2/7

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@University of Baltimore School of Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Baltimore Law Forum by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks@University of Baltimore School of Law. For more information, please contact snolan@ubalt.edu.
AMBIGUITIES ARISING FROM THE INTERPRETATION OF THE SENTENCING TERMS OF A PLEA AGREEMENT MUST BE RESOLVED SOLELY BASED ON THE TRIAL COURT RECORD, WITH NO REFERENCE TO EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE, UNDER MARYLAND RULE 4-243.