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RECENT DEVELOPMENT

MARSHALL V. STATE

By: Michael Rosemond

PROSECUTORS MAY INVOCe THE INVITED RESPONSE DOCTRINE IN ORDER TO COMMENT ON A DEFENDANT’S REFUSAL TO TESTIFY ONLY IF THE COURT AGREES THAT SUCH COMMENT IS WARRANTED BY IMPROPER ATTACKS FROM DEFENSE COUNSEL.
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