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The Commitment Procedure In Maryland: Last Stop For The Elderly?

by Anthony J. Agnone

For some time one of the most abused methods of dealing with the mental impairment of many of our elderly citizens in this state had been the use of the commitment procedure. Instead of using the commitment procedure as a last resort in protecting the aged person, it had become a "stop gap" measure for removing from the mainstream of society those elderly citizens who were suffering from an impairment of mental functioning.

There are two ways in which the state can protect the elderly person who needs to be institutionalized—these are through voluntary and emergency commitment procedures.

VOLUNTARY COMMITMENT

Most mental health statutes provide a procedure whereby an individual can commit himself voluntarily on the advice of his family and family physician. The legal issue involved in this situation is whether, taking into consideration his mental condition, the report of his family, and the report of his physician, the consent given by the elderly person is valid.

Maryland has gone beyond this point, however. Effective this past July, a person over sixty-five (65) years of age or older may not be voluntarily admitted to a mental health facility unless his admission is recommended by a geriatric evaluation unit. Article 59 §11 (b) of the Maryland Annotated Code provides that "the elderly person may not be admitted unless his condition is such that he is able to understand the nature of his request for admission, is able to request his release, and is capable of giving continuous assent to his retention by the facility." Should the voluntarily committed elderly person decide to revoke his assent to this commitment, Maryland law dictates that the institution must proceed to satisfy all of the involuntary commitment requirements of Article 59 §12 in order for the health facility to retain custody of the elderly person.

INVOLUNTARY COMMITMENT

Because the involuntary civil commitment statute permits an exercise of the state's police power which deprives the elderly person of his liberty by compelling him to accept confinement and psychiatric treatment, serious social and constitutional questions are raised.

In order to preserve social justice and avoid constitutional due process infirmities, Maryland's involuntary commitment act sets out carefully particularized commitment procedures. These procedures are contained in two sections of Article 59: §12 (involuntary admissions) and §22 (emergency admissions). It is to emergency admissions that the courts and all parties involved should accord the most careful procedural consideration, lest elderly persons be committed unfairly or unnecessarily.

Even with a detailed definition of "mental disorder" and the safeguards provided by the statute, the problem of avoiding the unnecessary detention of elderly people still remains. What are these bizarre traits and habits that the aged person must manifest as a requisite for detainment?

"Mental disorder means the behavioral and other symptoms which to a lay petitioner initiating the emergency admission process indicates a clear disturbance in the mental functioning of another person, and to a physician conducting an examination indicates one or more of the mental disorders described in the 'Diagnostic and Statistical Manual—Mental Disorders' published periodically by the American Psychiatric Association."

The attorney's role in the commitment procedure is: first, to insure that the health facility strictly adheres to the emergency admission statute; second, that the initiation procedure is carried out correctly; and third, that the patient is given notice informing him of his rights under the commitment statute.

Under Maryland's involuntary emergency commitment statute, only a police officer with the rank of sergeant or higher or a duly licensed physician may initiate the procedure. No later than six (6) hours after the elderly person has been taken into custody, he must be examined, released from custody or certified by a physician to have symptoms of a "mental disorder" which give the appearance of clear and imminent danger to himself or others. While this procedure transpires...
the physician, police officer or staff member connected with the procedure must contact the nearest relative and/or interested party as well as the elderly person’s attorney to explain the whereabouts of the person and his present and potential status.

Within twenty-four (24) hours from the time the elderly person has been placed in custody, he is entitled to a hearing before a Maryland District or Circuit Court Judge. Although the statute does not require the elderly person’s presence at the hearing, his presence is usually beneficial to his cause, and his attorney should insist that he attend the hearing, unless his behavior is likely to be violent or disruptive. His presence has a two-fold purpose: first, the aged person knows his interests are being protected and second, the judge has the opportunity to speak to him and observe his demeanor.

On the basis of all the pertinent data, the court makes a determination of the existence of probable cause to detain the senior citizen for an additional period of ninety-six (96) hours. At the end of this period, the emergency detention terminates. On the other hand, if the court finds for some reason that further action should not be taken under the petition, the elderly person will be released from custody immediately.

Should the elderly person be committed, his attorney must at this point insist that the facility comply with the patient’s “right to treatment.” Recently, the Supreme Court of the United States, as well as our Maryland courts, have insisted that elderly people committed to these health facilities can not be “warehoused” indefinitely. It is also the attorney’s duty to insure that his elderly client is not “warehoused,” by asking for a review of his client’s status.

The Maryland Legislature has done its part in creating statutes that protect the elderly person from commitment without justifiable cause. It is now the duty of attorneys to see that these statutes are followed so that the commitment procedure does not become a last stop for the elderly.
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