



10-1976

The Bar Exam (July, 1976)

J. Cheever Loophole

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarworks.law.ubalt.edu/lf>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Loophole, J. Cheever (1976) "The Bar Exam (July, 1976)," *University of Baltimore Law Forum*: Vol. 7: No. 1, Article 11.
Available at: <http://scholarworks.law.ubalt.edu/lf/vol7/iss1/11>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@University of Baltimore School of Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Baltimore Law Forum by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@University of Baltimore School of Law. For more information, please contact snolan@ubalt.edu.

may itself do this, but it may not prevent the Association from advocating differing views as to desirable state action.

The final aspect of this bizarre action by the Department is that even if the Department prevails, it will achieve no relief against the 50 states which actually control the conduct of lawyers. The American Bar Association cannot obtain the desired relief by its own action. The value of its advocacy will be destroyed by its loss of independence.

CONCLUSION

At the time this action was brought, there was pending in a federal district court of Virginia the case of *Consumers Union of America, Inc. v. American Bar Association*, C.A. No. 75-0105-R, an action raising issues relating to advertising. That action has been argued to a three-judge court and its decision is now being awaited. In addition to the antitrust question which would be raised by the government action, there are equally important constitutional questions which are raised by the plaintiff in that case, but which cannot be raised by the Department of Justice because of its lack of standing. The court also has before it the question of whether the American Bar Association is a proper party. Nothing is gained in the resolution of the advertising question by this last minute effort by the Department to project itself into the controversy, but we are all damaged by the ugly picture of the Department of Justice attempting to dominate the professional regulation of lawyers. The advertising issue is only one of many areas in which the antitrust laws can be a guise for Justice Department intrusion. Because this is a matter of fundamental concern to the profession, this report is submitted to insure that all members of the House of Delegates are promptly made aware of this state of affairs. There will be a further report at the Annual Meeting in August.



The Bar Exam

By J. Cheever Loophole,
Recent Law Graduate.

I just took the Bar Exam. (Maryland State Bar Exam, July 27-28, 1976, 9:00 A.M.) I bet I failed it. (Gambling debts are unenforceable.) I was so angry at the end, that I could have hit all the Bar Examiners in the Face with a Cream pie. (No assault, technically, because no threat was made which would produce apprehension of immediate physical harm in the mind of the Reasonable Man.)

All of my friends said (Hearsay) that they thought the Exam was impossible, (opinion) but I don't think so, (present state of mind.)

If I were President (Corporate employee, or Political Power?) I would abolish the Bar Exam (within his power? Constitutional? Express or implied?) because I don't think (opinion-admissible?) that it properly tests (Aguilar-Spinelli?) one's ability to practice Law. (Law?—Statutory, or Common—?) Many Law Graduates work for Corporations (Close, Subchapter S—Blue Sky Laws?) (also Fiduciary) or for Banks (Articles 3 & 9) in Private Enterprise (Corp., Partnership, or Proprietorship?) or for a Governmental Agency. (What Branch?) (Balance of Power—Commerce Clause—see Constitution)

In my opinion (inadmissible—Pseudonym) if one graduates from an Accredited Bar Review Course, he should be admitted to the Bar automatically. (HE?—Sex Discrimination—1983)

Although the Bar Exam (February 27-28, 1976) didn't bother me, personally, oh no, not at all, oh no, of course not, ME?, some of my friends (who?) are still quite upset about it, (intentional infliction of mental Distress.)

Lawyers are a bunch of Crooks (not actionable,—Truth is a good defense.) If they were Honest, and moral (Hypothetical) they would tell the Bar Examiners (see Sodomy) to hit the Road (not battery) and they would abolish the Bar exam forever. (Rule against Perpetuities?) It (Maryland State Bar Exam, July 27-28, 1976) is an archaic remnant of a bygone era when one could practice law without formal schooling.

I went to College (Best Evidence is certified Diploma, enclosed) and then Law School. (Best Evidence is an overbearing manner, a Messiah Complex, and acute Schizophrenia, all injected into this amusing piece.) If they won't let me practice Law now, I'm going to take my gall and go home!

NOTICE: Law Placement

Do you need a part-time law clerk, a summer law clerk or a full-time law graduate? Or, do you have a law related position that you would like to have competently filled?

Contact Assistant Dean William I. Weston at the Law Placement Service at the University of Baltimore School of Law, 1420 North Charles Street, Baltimore, Md. 21202. Telephone (301) 727-6350, ext. 251