

University of Baltimore Law Forum

Volume 41 Number 1 Fall 2010

Article 12

2010

Recent Developments: Marshall v. State: Prosecutors May Invoke the Invited Response Doctrine in Order to Comment on a Defendant's Refusal to Testify Only if the Court Agrees That Such Comment Is Warranted by Improper Attacks from Defense Counsel

Michael Rosemond

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarworks.law.ubalt.edu/lf



Part of the Law Commons

Recommended Citation

Rosemond, Michael (2010) "Recent Developments: Marshall v. State: Prosecutors May Invoke the Invited Response Doctrine in Order to Comment on a Defendant's Refusal to Testify Only if the Court Agrees That Such Comment Is Warranted by Improper Attacks from Defense Counsel," University of Baltimore Law Forum: Vol. 41: No. 1, Article 12. Available at: http://scholarworks.law.ubalt.edu/lf/vol41/iss1/12

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@University of Baltimore School of Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Baltimore Law Forum by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks@University of Baltimore School of Law. For more information, please contact snolan@ubalt.edu.

RECENT DEVELOPMENT

MARSHALL V. STATE

By: Michael Rosemond

PROSECUTORS MAY INVOKE THE INVITED RESPONSE DOCTRINE IN ORDER TO COMMENT ON A DEFENDANT'S REFUSAL TO TESTIFY ONLY IF THE COURT AGREES THAT SUCH COMMENT IS WARRANTED BY IMPROPER ATTACKS FROM DEFENSE COUNSEL.

All Recent Developments are available on the *University of Baltimore Law Forum* website: http://law.ubalt.edu/lawforum.

Please cite this Recent Development as *Marshall v. State*, 41 U. Balt. L.F. 95 (2010).